

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/675,412		09/29/2000	Russell Anderson	5240	5240 9663	
22862	7590	05/24/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GLENN PA	-		BEACH, TI	BEACH, THOMAS A		
3475 EDISO MENLO PA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -			3671	-	
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)
ANDERSON ET AL.
Art Unit
3671

	Inomas A Beach	36/1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>2/03/05</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 27 CER 1.136(c). The date	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	- - -	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuous NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		·	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Shepard fails to show the merchant names is noted; however, the base reference Gopinathan already discloses the use of merchant names in a plurality of clusters. The secondary reference teaches the use statistical analysis of data from records and does not need to show merchant names since the base reference already does. Sheppard clearly states that data records which include text (col. 12, lines 45-46); therefore, considered high categorical data which in ASCI is converted to binary data to be processed (col. 4, lines 60-68; col. 6 and lines 15-39). Sheppard clearly states the use of raw data (col. 6, lines 15-32). The databases (col. 12, 50-68) created from clustering are the functional equivalent of a lookup table. The usable interface, shown in figure 7 for example, is iterative and usable a model to indicate or predict data; therefore, Sheppard is considered to show a model..

Thomas B. Will

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600